arXiv:1608.06165v1 [math.DG] 22 Aug 2016

QUASI-LOCAL MASS AT THE NULL INFINITY OF THE VAIDYA SPACETIME

PO-NING CHEN, MU-TAO WANG, AND SHING-TUNG YAU

Abstract. There are two important statements regarding the Trautman-Bondi mass [1, 8, 5] at null infinity: one is the positivity [7, 6], and the other is the Bondi mass loss formula [1], which are both global in nature. The positivity of the quasi-local mass can potentially lead to a local description at null infinity. This is confirmed for the Vaidya spacetime in this note. We study the Wang-Yau quasi-local mass on surfaces of fixed size at the null infinity of the Vaidya spacetime. The optimal embedding equation is solved explicitly and the quasi-local mass is evaluated in terms of the mass aspect function of the Vaidya spacetime.

1. Introduction

In [3, 4], we study gravitational perturbations of black holes by evaluating the Wang-Yau quasi-local mass on surfaces of fixed size near the infinity of the asymptotically flat spacetime. In particular, we prove a theorem regarding the decay rate of the quasi-local energy-momentum at the infinity of gravitational perturbations of the Schwarzschild spacetime. Namely, in Theorem 5.4 of [4], we proved that the quasi-local mass on spheres of unit size decays at the rate of $O(d^{-2})$ for gravitational perturbations of the Schwarzschild spacetime. We also evaluated the quasi-local mass for two explicit examples, the polar perturbations and axial perturbations of the Schwarzschild spacetime introduced by Chandrasekhar [2]. These are perturbations of the Schwarzschild spacetime solving the linearized vacuum Einstein equation.

In this article, we follow the ideas and techniques developed in [4] to evaluate the Wang-Yau quasi-local mass [9, 10] on spheres of unit size at the null infinity of the Vaidya spacetime. The Vaidya spacetime describes the exterior region of a spherically symmetric star emitting or absorbing null dust. While it is not a small perturbation of the Schwarzschild spacetime, we show that the quasi-local mass decays at the same rate as predicted by Theorem 5.4 of [4]. In particular, we can apply Theorem 5.2 of [4] (see Theorem 2.1 below) to evaluate the quasi-local mass in terms of the expansion of the induced metric and mean curvature of the surface, as well as the solution of the optimal embedding equation.

Date: August 23, 2016.

P.-N. Chen is supported by NSF grant DMS-1308164, M.-T. Wang is supported by NSF grants DMS-1105483 and DMS-1405152. and S.-T. Yau is supported by NSF grants PHY-0714648 and DMS-1308244. Part of this work was carried out when P.-N. Chen and M.-T. Wang were visiting the Department of Mathematics and the Center of Mathematical Sciences and Applications at Harvard University and the National Center of Theoretical Sciences at National Taiwan University.

To evaluate the quasi-local mass, we first solved the optimal embedding equation explicitly in terms of the mass aspect function of the Vaidya spacetime (see Lemma 3.4 below). This solution is used to evaluate the quasi-local mass in terms of the mass aspect function (see Theorem 4.4 below). In particular, from Theorem 4.4, we observe directly that the quasi-local mass is positive if the mass aspect function is monotonically decreasing.

The article is organized as follows: in Section 2, we review the construction, notation and results from [4] that we will use in this article. We also give a brief review of the Vaidya spacetime. In Section 3, we solve the optimal embedding equation and evaluate the terms in Theorem 5.2 of [4] that depend on the equation. In Section 4, we compute the expansion of the data to the order of $O(d^{-2})$ and finish the evaluation of the quasi-local mass.

2. Unit size spheres at null infinity of the Vaidya spacetime

The Vaidya metric, in the (u, r, θ, ϕ) coordinate system, is of the form

$$-(1-\frac{M(u)}{r})du^2 - 2dudr + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2) \tag{2.1}$$

The stress-energy tensor of the Vaidya metric is

$$T_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{\partial_u M(u)}{4\pi r^2}\nabla_\alpha u \nabla_\beta u$$

with respect to any spacetime coordinate system $x^\alpha, \alpha = 0, 1, 2, 3$. In particular, the dominant energy condition is satisfied if M is monotonically non-increasing. The function M is referred to as the mass aspect function.

We consider a null geodesic of the form $u = u_0, \theta = \theta_0, \phi = \phi_0$ where u_0, θ_0, ϕ_0 are all constants, and "unit spheres" centered at points on such a null geodesic. The limit of the quasi-local mass of these spheres is then evaluated at null infinity.

Consider a new coordinate system (t, y^1, y^2, y^3) with $t = u + r, y_1 = r\sin\theta\sin\phi, y_2 = r\sin\theta\cos\phi$, and $y_3 = r\cos\theta$. A null geodesic with $u = 0, \theta = \theta_0, \phi = \phi_0$ corresponds to points with the new coordinates (d, d_1, d_2, d_3), where $d = \sqrt{\sum_i d_i^2}$.

With fixed $\tilde{d}_i = \frac{d_i}{d}, i = 1, 2, 3$, we consider the sphere Σ_d of (Euclidean) radius 1 centered at a point (d, d_1, d_2, d_3) on the null geodesic. Namely,

$$\Sigma_d = \{(t, y^1, y^2, y^3) |\ t = d, \sum_i (y^i - d_i)^2 = 1\}. \tag{2.2}$$

In the following, we study the family of surfaces Σ_d defined in (2.2) as $d \to \infty$. To study the geometry of these surfaces, we consider another spherical coordinate system $\{s, u^a\}_{a=1,2}$ centered at (d_1, d_2, d_3) on the $t = d$ slice. The coordinate transformation between $\{s, u^1, u^2\}$ and $\{y^1, y^2, y^3\}$ is given by

$$d_i + s\tilde{X}^i(u^1, u^2) = y^i, i = 1, 2, 3 \tag{2.3}$$

where $\tilde{X}^i(u^a), i = 1, 2, 3$ are the three standard coordinate functions on a unit sphere. In particular, from (2.3) we derive:

$$r^2 = d^2 + 2s\sum_i d_i\tilde{X}^i + s^2. \tag{2.4}$$

In the new coordinate system (t, s, u^1, u^2), Σ_d is simply the sphere with $t = d$ and $s = 1$. We define the function

$$Z(u^a) = \tilde{d}_i\tilde{X}^i(u^a) \tag{2.5}$$

on S^2. (This is denoted by Z_1 in [4]). From (2.3), we solve

$$\begin{aligned} dr &= (\sum_i \tilde{X}^i\tilde{y}^i)ds + (s\sum \tilde{X}^i_b\tilde{y}^i)du^b \\ dv^A &= (\frac{1}{r}\sum_i \tilde{X}^i\tilde{\nabla}^A\tilde{y}^i)ds + (\frac{s}{r}\sum_i \tilde{X}^i_b\tilde{\nabla}^A\tilde{y}^i)du^b, \end{aligned}$$

where $A = 1, 2$, $v^1 = \theta, v^2 = \phi$, and $\tilde{y}^i = \frac{y^i}{r}, i = 1, 2, 3$.

In terms of the coordinate system $\{s, u^1, u^2\}$, the metric on the hypersurface $t = d$ is

$$\bar{g}_{ss}ds^2 + 2\bar{g}_{sa}dsdu^a + \bar{g}_{ab}du^adu^b$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}_{ss} =& 1 + \frac{M(u)}{r}\sum_{i,j}(\tilde{X}^i\tilde{y}^i\tilde{X}^j\tilde{y}^j) \\ \bar{g}_{sa} =& \frac{sM(u)}{r}\sum_{i,j}(\tilde{X}^i\tilde{y}^i\tilde{X}^j_a\tilde{y}^j) \\ \bar{g}_{ab} =& s^2[\tilde{\sigma}_{ab} + \frac{M(u)}{r}\sum_{i,j}(\tilde{X}^i_a\tilde{y}^i\tilde{X}^j_b\tilde{y}^j)]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

From (2.3) and (2.4) we derive the following expansions on Σ_d:

$$\begin{aligned} r =& d + Z + \frac{1 - Z^2}{2d} + O(d^{-2}) \\ \sum_i \tilde{X}^i\tilde{y}^i =& Z + \frac{1 - Z^2}{d} + O(d^{-2}) \\ \sum_i \tilde{X}^i_a\tilde{y}^i =& Z_a - \frac{ZZ_a}{d} + O(d^{-2}) \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial r}{\partial s} =& Z + \frac{1-Z^2}{d} + O(d^{-2})\\ \sum_i \tilde{X}^i \frac{\partial \tilde{y}^i}{\partial s} =& \frac{1-Z^2}{d} + O(d^{-2})\\ \sum_i \tilde{X}^i_a \frac{\partial \tilde{y}^i}{\partial s} =& -\frac{ZZ_a}{d} + O(d^{-2}).\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

The restriction of u to Σ_d is a function on S^2 which also depends on d. As a result, the restriction of the mass aspect function $M(u)$ to Σ_d is a function $M(d, u^a)$. To evaluate the limit of the quasi-local mass, we consider $\lim_{d\to\infty} M(d, u^a)$. From (2.7), we conclude that on Σ_d, we have

$$u = -Z - \frac{1-Z^2}{2d} + O(d^{-2}) \tag{2.9}$$

and there is a function F of a single variable defined on $[-1, 1]$ such that

$$\lim_{d\to\infty} M = F(Z) \tag{2.10}$$

where $F(Z)$ is the composition of F with $Z(u^a)$. From (2.10), it is easy to see that

$$M(u) = F(Z) + O(d^{-1}).$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_u M(u) &= -F'(Z) + O(d^{-1})\\ \partial_u^2 M(u) &= F''(Z) + O(d^{-1})\\ \partial_u^3 M(u) &= -F'''(Z) + O(d^{-1}),\end{aligned}$$

where F' denotes the derivative of F .

Let σ_{ab}, $|H|$ and α_H be the induced metric, the norm of the mean curvature vector, and the connection 1-form in mean curvature gauge of the surface Σ_d, respectively. It is easy to see that they admit the following expansions:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{ab} =& \tilde{\sigma}_{ab} + \frac{\sigma^{(-1)}_{ab}}{d} + \frac{\sigma^{(-2)}_{ab}}{d^2} + O(d^{-3})\\ |H| =& 2 + \frac{h^{(-1)}}{d} + \frac{h^{(-2)}}{d^2} + O(d^{-3})\\ (\alpha_H)_a =& \frac{(\alpha_H^{(-1)})_a}{d} + \frac{(\alpha_H^{(-2)})_a}{d^2} + O(d^{-3}).\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

In particular, we have the following expansion for the area form

$$\mathrm{d}V_\sigma = (1 + \frac{V^{(-1)}}{d} + \frac{V^{(-2)}}{d^2})\mathrm{d}V_{S^2} + O(d^{-3}). \tag{2.12}$$

The quasi-local mass for surfaces admitting such data is evaluated in [4, Theorem 5.2].

Theorem 2.1. *Let Σ_d be a family of surfaces whose data $(\sigma, |H|, \alpha_H)$ admits the expansions given by* (2.11)*. Assume further that*

$$\int_{S^2} (h_0^{(-1)} - h^{(-1)})\, \mathrm{d}V_{S^2} = 0 \tag{2.13}$$

$$\int_{S^2} \tilde{X}^i \tilde{\nabla}^a (\alpha_H^{(-1)})_a\, \mathrm{d}V_{S^2} = 0. \tag{2.14}$$

Let X be the isometric embedding of Σ_d into $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ such that $X^0 = O(d^{-1})$ and the pair X and $T_0 = (1,0,0,0)$ solves the leading order term of the optimal embedding equation. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &E(\Sigma_d, X, T_0) \\ =&\frac{1}{8\pi d^2} \int_{S^2} \Big\{ \frac{|\tilde{\nabla} N|^2}{2} - N^2 - \frac{1}{4} (X^0)^{(-1)} \widetilde{\Delta}(\widetilde{\Delta} + 2)(X^0)^{(-1)} \\ &\qquad - V^{(-2)} - h^{(-2)} - h^{(-1)} V^{(-1)} \Big\}\, \mathrm{d}V_{S^2} + O(d^{-3}) \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

where $(X^0)^{(-1)}$ and N satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\Delta}(\widetilde{\Delta} + 2)(X^0)^{(-1)} =& 2\tilde{\nabla}^a (\alpha_H^{(-1)})_a \\ -(\widetilde{\Delta} + 2) N =& \frac{1}{2} \left[\tilde{\nabla}^a \tilde{\nabla}^b \sigma_{ab}^{(-1)} - tr_{S^2} \sigma^{(-1)} - \widetilde{\Delta}(tr_{S^2} \sigma^{(-1)}) \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Remark 2.2. *In fact,* (2.16) *is the leading order term of the optimal embedding equation. While the solution to* (2.16) *is not unique, the leading order term of the quasi-local energy is independent of the choice of the solution.*

We evaluate the quasi-local mass for Σ_d in the next two sections. In Section 3, we solve $(X^0)^{(-1)}$ and N from (2.16) and evaluate the terms in (2.15) involving $(X^0)^{(-1)}$ and N. In Section 4, we evaluate the terms in (2.15) involving $h^{(-1)}$, $h^{(-2)}$, $V^{(-1)}$ and $V^{(-2)}$.

3. Optimal embedding equation

We first compute $\alpha_H^{(-1)}$ and $\sigma_{ab}^{(-1)}$.

Lemma 3.1. *For the data $\alpha_H^{(-1)}$ and $\sigma_{ab}^{(-1)}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{ab}^{(-1)} =& F Z_a Z_b \\ (\alpha_H^{(-1)})_a =& -F' Z Z_a + \frac{1}{4} F''(1 - Z^2) Z_a. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. From (2.6), (2.7) and (2.9), we immediately obtain the expression for $\sigma_{ab}^{(-1)}$. Next we compute the second fundamental form of the hypersurface. The unit normal vector, up to lower order terms, is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{M(u)}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}$$

and all the components of the second fundamental form of $t = d$ vanish except

$$k_{rr} = \frac{F'}{2d} + O(d^{-2}).$$

On Σ_d, we have

$$dr = Zds + Z_a du^a + O(d^{-1})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} k_{sa} =& \frac{F'}{2d} Z Z_a + O(d^{-2}) \\ k_{ab} =& \frac{F'}{2d} Z_a Z_b + O(d^{-2}). \end{aligned}$$

The lemma follows from

$$(\alpha_H)_a = -k(\nu, \partial_a) + \nabla_a \frac{tr_\Sigma k}{|H|}.$$

□

We compute the right hand side of (2.16) in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2.

$$\frac{1}{2}\left[\tilde{\nabla}^a\tilde{\nabla}^b\sigma^{(-1)}_{ab} - tr_{S^2}\sigma^{(-1)} - \widetilde{\Delta}(tr_{S^2}\sigma^{(-1)})\right] = -\frac{1}{2}F'Z(1-Z^2) - F(1-2Z^2). \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$2\tilde{\nabla}^a(\alpha^{(-1)}_H)_a = \frac{1}{2}F'''(1-Z^2)^2 - 4F''Z(1-Z^2) - 2F'(1-3Z^2) \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. Recall

$$\sigma^{(-1)}_{ab} = FZ_aZ_b$$

and thus

$$tr_{S^2}\sigma^{(-1)}_{ab} = F(1-Z^2).$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\Delta}(tr_{S^2}\sigma^{(-1)}) =& (\widetilde{\Delta}F)(1-Z^2) - 4Z\tilde{\nabla}_a F\tilde{\nabla}^a Z + F\widetilde{\Delta}(1-Z^2) \\ =& F''(1-Z^2)^2 - 6F'Z(1-Z^2)^2 + F(6Z^2-2) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}^a\tilde{\nabla}^b\sigma^{(-1)}_{ab} =& \tilde{\nabla}^a[F'(1-Z^2)Z_a - 3FZZ_a] \\ =& F''(1-Z^2)^2 - 7F'Z(1-Z^2)^2 - 3F(1-3Z^2) \end{aligned}$$

Collecting terms yields (3.2). For (3.3), we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}^a(\alpha^{(-1)}_H)_a =& \tilde{\nabla}^a\left[-F'ZZ_a + \frac{1}{4}\partial_u^2 F(1-Z^2)Z_a\right] \\ =& \frac{1}{4}F'''(1-Z^2)^2 - 2F''Z(1-Z^2) - F'(1-3Z^2). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.3. *Let G be an anti-derivative of F such that $G' = F$ and $G(Z)$ denote the composition of G and $Z(u^a)$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} N =& \frac{1}{2} ZG(Z) \\ (X^0)^{(-1)} =& \frac{1}{2} G(Z) \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

solves the optimal embedding equation (2.16).

Proof. For axially symmetric functions depending on Z, we have

$$\widetilde{\Delta} = \frac{\partial}{\partial Z}[(1-Z^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial Z}].$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} -\widetilde{\Delta}(ZG(Z)) =& -\frac{\partial}{\partial Z}\left[(1-Z^2)ZF + (1-Z^2)G(Z)\right] \\ =& -F'Z(1-Z^2) - F(1-3Z^2) - F(1-Z^2) + 2ZG(Z) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$-\frac{1}{2}(\widetilde{\Delta}+2)(ZG(Z)) = -\frac{1}{2}F'Z(1-Z^2) - F(1-2Z^2).$$

Next we compute

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\Delta}(\widetilde{\Delta}+2)(G(Z)) \\ =&\frac{1}{2}(\widetilde{\Delta}+2)\frac{\partial}{\partial Z}(F(1-Z^2)) \\ =&\frac{1}{2}(\widetilde{\Delta}+2)[F'(1-Z^2) - 2ZF] \\ =&F'(1-Z^2) - 2ZF + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z}[(1-Z^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial Z}(F'(1-Z^2) - 2ZF)] \\ =&F'(1-Z^2) - 2ZF + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z}[F''(1-Z^2)^2 - 4F'Z(1-Z^2) - 2F(1-Z^2)] \\ =&\frac{1}{2}F'''(1-Z^2)^2 - 4F''Z(1-Z^2) + 2F'(3Z^2-1). \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.4. *The condition* (2.13) *holds for the family of surfaces Σ_d.*

Proof. This follows from the solvability of (2.16). □

Finally, we evaluate the integrals.

Lemma 3.5. *For the N and $(X^0)^{(-1)}$ solving* (2.16)*,*

$$\int_{S^2}\left[\frac{|\tilde{\nabla}N|^2}{2}-N^2\right]\mathrm{d}V_{S^2}=\int_{S^2}\frac{1}{8}F^2Z^2(1-Z^2)\mathrm{d}V_{S^2} \tag{3.5}$$

$$\int_{S^2}(X^0)^{(-1)}\widetilde{\Delta}(\widetilde{\Delta}+2)(X^0)^{(-1)}\mathrm{d}V_{S^2}=\int_{S^2}\frac{1}{4}(F')^2(1-Z^2)^2\mathrm{d}V_{S^2} \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. For any axially symmetric function $f(Z)$, we have

$$|\tilde{\nabla}f|^2=(1-Z^2)(f')^2.$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{|\tilde{\nabla}N|^2}{2}-N^2=&\frac{1}{8}(1-Z^2)(G(Z)+ZF)^2-\frac{1}{4}Z^2(G(Z))^2\\=&\frac{1}{8}(1-Z^2)Z^2F^2+\frac{1}{8}(1-3Z^2)(G(Z))^2+\frac{1}{4}(1-Z^2)ZF(G(Z))\\=&\frac{1}{8}(1-Z^2)Z^2F^2+\frac{1}{8}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z}[(G(Z))^2(1-Z^2)Z].\end{aligned}$$

(3.5) follows since for any function f of a single variable, we have

$$\int_{S^2}f'(Z)\mathrm{d}V_{S^2}=2\pi(f(-1)-f(1)). \tag{3.7}$$

On the other hand,

$$\int_{S^2}(X^0)^{(-1)}\widetilde{\Delta}(\widetilde{\Delta}+2)(X^0)^{(-1)}\mathrm{d}V_{S^2}=\int_{S^2}[\widetilde{\Delta}(X^0)^{(-1)}]^2-2|\tilde{\nabla}(X^0)^{(-1)}|^2\mathrm{d}V_{S^2}.$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned}[\widetilde{\Delta}(X^0)^{(-1)}]^2-2|\tilde{\nabla}(X^0)^{(-1)}|^2=&[\frac{1}{2}F'(1-Z^2)-ZF]^2-\frac{1}{2}(1-Z^2)F^2\\=&\frac{1}{4}(F')^2(1-Z^2)-FF'Z(1-Z^2)-\frac{1}{2}(1-3Z^2)F^2\\=&\frac{1}{4}(F')^2(1-Z^2)-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z}[F^2Z(1-Z^2)].\end{aligned}$$

This implies (3.6) . □

Combining Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 3.5, the quasi-local mass of the surface Σ_d is

$$\frac{1}{8\pi d^2}\int_{S^2}\left[\frac{1}{8}(1-Z^2)Z^2F^2-\frac{1}{16}(F')^2(1-Z^2)-h^{(-2)}-h^{(-1)}V^{(-1)}-V^{(-2)}\right]\mathrm{d}V_{S^2}+O(d^{-3})$$

Let $\hat{h}$ be the mean curvature of Σ_d in the hypersurface $t=d$.

$$|H|^2=\sqrt{\hat{h}^2-\frac{1}{4}(F')^2(1-Z^2)^2}+O(d^{-3}).$$

Hence the quasi-local energy of the surface Σ_d is

$$\frac{1}{8\pi d^2}\int_{S^2}\left[\frac{1}{8}(1-Z^2)Z^2F^2-\hat{h}^{(-2)}-\hat{h}^{(-1)}V^{(-1)}-V^{(-2)}\right]\mathrm{d}V_{S^2}+O(d^{-3}) \tag{3.8}$$

where

$$\hat{h}=2+\frac{\hat{h}^{(-1)}}{d}+\frac{\hat{h}^{(-2)}}{d^2}+O(d^{-3}).$$

4. The expansion of mean curvature and area form

In this section, we compute the expansions of the area form and the mean curvature of Σ_d. We start with the area form.

Lemma 4.1.

$$\begin{aligned} V^{(-1)} =& \frac{F(1-Z^2)}{2}\\ V^{(-2)} =& -\frac{3}{2}FZ(1-Z^2)+\frac{1}{4}F'(1-Z^2)^2-\frac{1}{8}F^2(1-Z^2)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. We compute

$$\frac{det(\sigma)}{det(\tilde{\sigma})}=1+\frac{M(u)}{r}\sum_{ij}\tilde{\sigma}^{ab}\tilde{X}^i_a\tilde{y}^iX^j_b\tilde{y}^j,$$

since

$$\sigma_{ab}=\tilde{\sigma}_{ab}+\frac{M(u)}{r}\sigma^{ab}\sum_{ij}\tilde{X}^i_a\tilde{y}^iX^j_b\tilde{y}^j$$

and

$$det(\sigma^{(-1)})=0.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{det(\sigma)}{det(\tilde{\sigma})}=1+\frac{M(u)}{r}(1-\sum_{ij}\tilde{X}^i\tilde{y}^iX^j\tilde{y}^j).$$

Using the expansion of r and $\tilde{X}^i\tilde{y}^i$ in (2.7) and

$$M(u)=F+\frac{1}{2d}F'(1-Z^2)+O(d^{-2}), \tag{4.2}$$

we conclude that

$$\frac{det(\sigma)}{det(\tilde{\sigma})}=1+\frac{F(1-Z^2)}{d}+\frac{1}{d^2}\left[-3FZ(1-Z^2)+\frac{1}{2}F'(1-Z^2)\right]+O(d^{-3}).$$

The lemma follows from taking the square root of the above equation. □

Next we compute the expansion of the mean curvature $\hat{h}$. In terms of the induced metric $\bar{g}$ of the hypersurface $t=d$,

$$\hat{h}=\frac{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^{ab}\partial_s\bar{g}_{ab}-\nabla^a\bar{g}_{as}}{\sqrt{\bar{g}_{ss}-\sigma^{ab}\bar{g}_{as}\bar{g}_{bs}}}.$$

To make use of the divergence structure, we rewrite $\hat{h}$ as

$$\hat{h} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^{ab}\partial_s \bar{g}_{ab}}{\sqrt{g_{ss} - \sigma^{ab}\bar{g}_{as}\bar{g}_{bs}}} - (\nabla^a \bar{g}_{as})\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{g}_{ss} - \sigma^{ab}\bar{g}_{as}\bar{g}_{bs}}} - 1\right) - \nabla^a \bar{g}_{as}.$$

We do not need to compute the last term since its integral vanishes. Let

$$\frac{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^{ab}\partial_s \bar{g}_{ab}}{\sqrt{\bar{g}_{ss} - \sigma^{ab}\bar{g}_{as}\bar{g}_{bs}}} - (\nabla^a \bar{g}_{as})\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{g}_{ss} - \sigma^{ab}\bar{g}_{as}\bar{g}_{bs}}} - 1\right) = 2 + \frac{\breve{h}^{(-1)}}{d} + + \frac{\breve{h}^{(-2)}}{d^2} + O(d^{-3}).$$

Lemma 4.2.

$$\begin{aligned}
\breve{h}^{(-1)} =& - FZ^2 + \frac{1}{2}F'Z(1-Z^2) \\
\breve{h}^{(-2)} =& \frac{1}{4}F''Z(1-Z^2)^2 - \frac{F'}{2}(-1+6Z^2-5Z^4) + \frac{F}{2}(9Z^3-7Z) \\
&+ \frac{F^2}{4}(6Z^2-7Z^4) - FF'\Big[\frac{1}{2}Z(1-Z^2)^2 - \frac{1}{4}Z^3(1-Z^2)\Big].
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Proof. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{g}_{ss} =& 1 + \frac{M(u)}{r}\sum_{i,j}\tilde{X}^i\tilde{y}^i\tilde{X}^j\tilde{y}^j \\
\bar{g}_{as} =& \frac{FZZ_a}{d} + O(d^{-2}).
\end{aligned}$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{g}_{ss} - \sigma^{ab}\bar{g}_{as}\bar{g}_{bs}}} =& \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{M(u)}{r}\sum_{i,j}\tilde{X}^i\tilde{y}^i\tilde{X}^j\tilde{y}^j - \frac{F^2}{d^2}Z^2(1-Z^2)}} + O(d^{-3}) \\
=& \frac{1}{1 + \frac{M(u)}{2r}\sum_{i,j}\tilde{X}^i\tilde{y}^i\tilde{X}^j\tilde{y}^j - \frac{F^2}{d^2}[\frac{1}{2}Z^2(1-Z^2) + \frac{1}{8}Z^4]} + O(d^{-3}) \\
=& 1 - \frac{M(u)}{2r}\sum_{i,j}\tilde{X}^i\tilde{y}^i\tilde{X}^j\tilde{y}^j + \frac{F^2}{d^2}[\frac{1}{2}Z^2(1-Z^2) + \frac{3}{8}Z^4] + O(d^{-3}).
\end{aligned}$$

From the expansion of $M(u)$ in (4.2) and the expansions of r and $\tilde{X}^i\tilde{y}^i$ in (2.7), we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{g}_{ss} - \sigma^{ab}\bar{g}_{as}\bar{g}_{bs}}} \\
=& 1 - \frac{FZ^2}{2d} + \frac{1}{d^2}\left[F^2(\frac{Z^2}{2} - \frac{Z^4}{8}) - \frac{1}{4}F'Z^2(1-Z^2) + \frac{1}{2}F(3Z^3-2Z)\right] + O(d^{-3}).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Next we compute

$$\nabla^a \bar{g}_{as} = \frac{1}{d}\left[F(1-3Z^2) + F'Z(1-Z^2)\right] + O(d^{-2}). \tag{4.5}$$

As a result,

(4.6)
$$-(\nabla^a \bar{g}_{as})\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{g}_{ss}-\sigma^{ab}\bar{g}_{as}\bar{g}_{bs}}}-1\right)=\frac{1}{2d^2}\left[F^2(1-3Z^2)+FF'Z^3(1-Z^2)\right]+O(d^{-3}).$$

Finally, we compute $\sigma^{ab}\partial_s\bar{g}_{ab}$.

$$\partial_s\bar{g}_{ab}=2\sigma_{ab}+\sum_{i,j}\frac{\partial}{\partial s}[\frac{M(u)}{r}\tilde{X}^i_a\tilde{y}^iX^j_b\tilde{y}^j]$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma^{ab}\partial_s\bar{g}_{ab} =&4+\sum_{i,j}\sigma^{ab}\frac{\partial}{\partial s}[\frac{M(u)}{r}\tilde{X}^i_a\tilde{y}^iX^j_b\tilde{y}^j]\\
=&4+(\tilde{\sigma}^{ab}-\frac{F}{d}\tilde{\nabla}^aZ\tilde{\nabla}^bZ)\sum_{i,j}\frac{\partial}{\partial s}[\frac{M(u)}{r}\tilde{X}^i_a\tilde{y}^iX^j_b\tilde{y}^j]+O(d^{-3})\\
=&4+(\tilde{\sigma}^{ab}-\frac{F}{d}\tilde{\nabla}^aZ\tilde{\nabla}^bZ)\frac{\partial_sM(u)}{r}\sum_{i,j}\tilde{X}^i_a\tilde{y}^iX^j_b\tilde{y}^j+F\frac{\partial}{\partial s}[\frac{\tilde{\sigma}^{ab}}{r}\sum_{i,j}\tilde{X}^i_a\tilde{y}^iX^j_b\tilde{y}^j]+O(d^{-3})\\
=&4+\frac{\partial_sM(u)}{r}(1-\sum_{i,j}\tilde{X}^i\tilde{y}^i\tilde{X}^j\tilde{y}^j)-\frac{FF'Z(1-Z^2)^2}{d^2}-\frac{F}{d^2}\frac{\partial r}{\partial s}(1-Z^2)\\
&+\frac{2F}{r}\sum_{i,j}\tilde{\sigma}^{ab}\tilde{X}^i_a\frac{\partial\tilde{y}^i}{\partial s}X^j_b\tilde{y}^j+O(d^{-3})\\
=&4+\frac{\partial_sM(u)}{r}(1-\sum_{i,j}\tilde{X}^i\tilde{y}^i\tilde{X}^j\tilde{y}^j)-\frac{1}{d^2}\left[FF'Z(1-Z^2)^2+3FZ(1-Z^2)\right]+O(d^{-3})
\end{aligned}$$

where (2.8) is used in the last equality. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_sM(u) =&-\partial_uM(u)\frac{\partial r}{\partial s}\\
=&[F'+\frac{1}{2d}F''(1-Z^2)][Z+\frac{1}{d}(1-Z^2)]+O(d^{-2}).
\end{aligned}$$

Collecting terms, we obtain

(4.7)
$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\sigma^{ab}\partial_s\bar{g}_{ab}\\
=&2+\frac{1}{2d}F'Z(1-Z^2)\\
&+\frac{(1-Z^2)}{d^2}\left[\frac{1}{4}F''Z(1-Z^2)-F'(2Z^2-\frac{1}{2})-\frac{3}{2}FZ-\frac{1}{2}FF'Z(1-Z^2)\right]+O(d^{-3}).
\end{aligned}$$

The lemma follows from combining (4.4), (4.6) and (4.7). □

Corollary 4.3. *The condition* (2.14) *holds for the family of surfaces* Σ_d.

Proof. From the proof of Theorem 5.2 in [4],

$$\int_{S^2}(h_0^{(-1)}-h^{(-1)})\,\mathrm{d}V_{S^2}=-\int_{S^2}(V^{(-1)}+h^{(-1)})\,\mathrm{d}V_{S^2}.$$

As a result, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{S^2}(h_0^{(-1)}-h^{(-1)})\,\mathrm{d}V_{S^2}=&-\frac{1}{2}\int_{S^2}F'Z(1-Z^2)+F(1-3Z^2)\,\mathrm{d}V_{S^2}\\=&-\frac{1}{2}\int_{S^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z}[FZ(1-Z^2)]\,\mathrm{d}V_{S^2}\end{aligned}$$

which vanishes due to (3.7). □

We are now ready to compute $V^{(-2)}+\breve{h}^{(-2)}+V^{(-1)}\breve{h}^{(-1)}$.

$$\begin{aligned}V^{(-2)}+\breve{h}^{(-2)}+V^{(-1)}\breve{h}^{(-1)}=&\frac{1}{4}F''Z(1-Z^2)^2+\frac{1}{4}F'(3-14Z^2+11Z^4)+F(6Z^3-5Z)\\&+\frac{1}{8}\Big[F^2(-1+10Z^2-11Z^4)-(F^2)'(Z-3Z^3+2Z^5)\Big].\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we observe that

$$F^2(-1+10Z^2-11Z^4)-(F^2)'(Z-3Z^3+2Z^5)=-\frac{\partial}{\partial Z}[F^2(Z-3Z^3+2Z^5)]+Z^2-Z^4$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{4}F''Z(1-Z^2)^2+\frac{1}{4}F'(3-14Z^2+11Z^4)+F(6Z^3-5Z)\\=&\frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z}[F'Z(1-Z^2)^2+F(2-8Z^2+6Z^4)]-FZ.\end{aligned}$$

As a result, we conclude that

$$\int_{S^2}\Big[V^{(-2)}+\breve{h}^{(-2)}+V^{(-1)}\breve{h}^{(-1)}\Big]\,\mathrm{d}V_{S^2}=\int_{S^2}\left[-FZ+\frac{1}{8}F^2Z^2(1-Z^2)\right]\mathrm{d}V_{S^2}.\tag{4.8}$$

Combining (3.8) and (4.8), we conclude that

$$E(\Sigma_d,X,T_0)=\frac{1}{8\pi d^2}\int_{S^2}ZF\mathrm{d}V_{S^2}+O(d^{-3}).\tag{4.9}$$

Applying (3.7) again, we have

$$\int_{S^2}ZF\mathrm{d}V_{S^2}=\frac{1}{2}\int_{S^2}F'(1-Z^2)\mathrm{d}V_{S^2}.$$

We obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 4.4. *Let X be an isometric embedding of Σ_d into $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ such that $X^0 = O(d^{-1})$, and the pair X and $T_0 = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ solves the leading order term of the optimal embedding equation. The quasi-local energy is*

$$E(\Sigma_d, X, T_0) = -\frac{1}{16\pi d^2}\int_{S^2} \partial_u M(1 - Z^2)\mathrm{d}V_{S^2} + O(d^{-3}). \tag{4.10}$$

In [4], an invariant is defined on loops near the infinity in a gravitational perturbation of the Schwarzschild spacetime. We briefly recall the result here. See Section 8 of [4] for more details. Given a simple close curve γ and a normal vector field L along γ. For any smooth convex surface Σ with boundary γ that is tangent to L, let h_{ab} be its second fundamental form and $\mathrm{d}V_\Sigma$ be its area form with respect to the Schwarzschild spacetime. Suppose the second fundamental form and the area form admit the following expansions:

$$\begin{aligned} h_{ab} =& h^{(0)}_{ab} + O(d^{-1}) \\ \mathrm{d}V_\Sigma =& \mathrm{d}V^{(0)}_\Sigma + O(d^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose we have a one-parameter family $g(z)$ of gravitational perturbations of the Schwarzschild spacetime where $g(0)$ is the Schwarzschild spacetime. Let $\sigma(z)$ and $H(z)$ be the induced metric and the mean curvature vector of Σ with respect to the metric $g(z)$, respectively. Consider the variations of the induced metric and the norm of the mean curvature vector

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\sigma =& \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma(z)}{\mathrm{d}z}|_{z=0} \\ \delta H =& \frac{\mathrm{d}|H(z)|}{\mathrm{d}z}|_{z=0} \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta\sigma$ and δH admit the following expansions

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\sigma_{ab} =& \frac{\delta\sigma^{(-1)}_{ab}}{d} + O(d^{-2}) \\ \delta H =& \frac{\delta h^{(-1)}}{d} + O(d^{-2}). \end{aligned}$$

The invariant is defined as follows:

Theorem 4.5. *Let γ and L as above. Given a one-parameter family of gravitational perturbations of the Schwarzschild spacetime satisfying the dominant energy condition, the following quantity*

$$|\frac{1}{8\pi}\int_\Sigma \left[\frac{1}{2}(h^{(0)})^{ab}\delta\sigma^{(-1)}_{ab} + \delta h^{(-1)}\right]\mathrm{d}V^{(0)}_\Sigma|$$

is independent of the choice of Σ.

We evaluate this invariant on loops in the Vaidya spacetime with respect to the Schwarzschild spacetime of mass $m > 0$. Given the Vaidya spacetime,

$$g(z) = -(1 - \frac{M(u)}{r})du^2 - 2dudr + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2),$$

we apply Theorem 4.5 to the following one-parameter family of spacetimes

$$g(z) = -(1 - \frac{M(u)z + m(1-z)}{r})du^2 - 2dudr + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2)$$

joining the Schwarzschild spacetime of mass m and the given Vaidya spacetime.

The construction of Σ_d and the function Z in Section 2 is independent of the mass aspect function. We apply the same construction in each $g(z)$. In particular, we have the surface Σ_d in the Schwarzschild spacetime $g(0)$ and Theorem 4.5 is applicable.

Given $-1 < c < 1$, let

$$\Sigma_{d,c} = \Sigma_d \cap \{Z \geq c\}$$

be the portion of Σ_d with $Z \geq c$. In particular, $\gamma_c = \Sigma_d \cap \{Z = c\}$ is the boundary of $\Sigma_{d,c}$. It is also easy to compute the normal vector field L_c of γ_c in $\Sigma_{d,c}$ with respect to the metric $g(0)$. Finally, let S^2_c be the portion of S^2 with $Z \geq c$. S^2_c is precisely the limit of $\Sigma_{d,c}$ as d approaches infinity.

Proposition 4.6. *Let γ_c and L_c be as above. For any smooth convex surface Σ with boundary γ_c that is tangent to L_c, we have*

$$|\frac{1}{8\pi}\int_\Sigma \left[\frac{1}{2}(h^{(0)})^{ab}\delta\sigma^{(-1)}_{ab} + \delta h^{(-1)}\right] \mathrm{d}V^{(0)}_\Sigma| = \frac{1}{8}(1-c^2)|c(M(-c)-m)|.$$

Proof. By Theorem 4.5, it suffices to evaluate the integral on $\Sigma_{d,c}$. On $\Sigma_{d,c}$, we have $h^{(0)}_{ab} = \tilde{\sigma}_{ab}$ and $\mathrm{d}V^{(0)}_\Sigma = \mathrm{d}V_{S^2_c}$. Hence, the invariant is

$$|\frac{1}{8\pi}\int_{S^2_c} \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\sigma}^{ab}\delta\sigma^{(-1)}_{ab} + \delta h^{(-1)}\mathrm{d}V_{S^2_c}|.$$

From (3.1), (4.3) and (4.5), we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\sigma}^{ab}\delta\sigma^{(-1)}_{ab} = \frac{(F-m)(1-Z^2)}{2}$$

and

$$\delta h^{(-1)} = -(F-m)(1-2Z^2) - \frac{1}{2}F'Z(1-Z^2).$$

As a result,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{8\pi}\int_{S^2_c} \delta V^{(-1)} + \delta h^{(-1)}\mathrm{d}V_{S^2_c} =& -\frac{1}{16\pi}\int_{S^2_c}(F-m)(1-3Z^2) + F'Z(1-Z^2)\mathrm{d}V_{S^2_c}\\ =& -\frac{1}{8}\int_{Z=c}^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial Z}[(F-m)Z(1-Z^2)]dZ\\ =& \frac{1}{8}c(1-c^2)(M(-c)-m).\end{aligned}$$

□

In particular, we observe that while the quasi-local mass on Σ_d is related to the mass loss, the invariant on the loop is related to the mass aspect function.

References

[1] H. Bondi, M. G. J. van der Burg and A. W. K. Metzner. *Gravitational Waves in General Relativity. VII. Waves from Axi-Symmetric Isolated Systems*, Proc. Roy. Soc. A. **269** (1962) 21–52.

[2] S. Chandrasekhar, *The mathematical theory of black holes*, reprint of the 1992 edition, Oxford Classic Texts in the Physical Sciences, Oxford Univ. Press, New York.

[3] P.-N. Chen, M.-T. Wang and S.-T. Yau, *Quasi-local energy in presence of gravitational radiation*, arXiv:1603.08860.

[4] P.-N. Chen, M.-T. Wang and S.-T. Yau, *Quasi-local mass in the gravitational perturbations of black holes*, in preparation.

[5] P. T. Chruściel, J. Jezierski, and M.A. H. MacCallum, *Uniqueness of the Trautman-Bondi mass*, Phys. Rev. D (3) 58 (1998), no. 8, 084001, 16 pp.

[6] G. T. Horowitz and M.-J. Perry, *Gravitational energy cannot become negative*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48 (1982), no. 6, 371–374.

[7] R. Schoen and S.-T. Yau, *Proof that the Bondi mass is positive*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48 (1982), no. 6, 369–371.

[8] Trautman, A. *Radiation and boundary condition in the theory of gravitation*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astr. Phys. 6 1958 407–412.

[9] M.-T. Wang and S.-T. Yau, *Quasilocal mass in general relativity*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102** (2009), no. 2, no. 021101.

[10] M.-T. Wang and S.-T. Yau, *Isometric embeddings into the Minkowski space and new quasi-local mass*, Comm. Math. Phys. **288** (2009), no. 3, 919–942.

[11] M.-T. Wang and S.-T. Yau, *Limit of quasilocal mass at spatial infinity*, Comm. Math. Phys. **296** (2010), no.1, 271–283.